UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS GALVESTON DIVISION

Maria Tovar,	: Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff,	· :
v.	: •
Talbott, Adams & Moore, Inc,	COMPLAINT JURY
Defendant.	· : :

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Maria Tovar, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of the Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of the Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
 - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

4. The Plaintiff, Maria Tovar ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Texas City, Texas, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

5. The Defendant, Talbott, Adams & Moore, Inc ("Talbott"), is a Texas business entity with an address of 4538 Centerview Drive, Suite 250, San Antonio, Texas 78228, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

- 6. A financial obligation (the "Debt") was allegedly incurred to a creditor (the "Creditor").
- 7. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 8. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to for collection, or was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 9. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 10. Defendants called Plaintiff's residential and cellular phone lines in an attempt to collect the Debt with the intent to annoy and harass.
- 11. Plaintiff has explained to Defendant that in 2005, her wallet was stolen and that as a result it appears that someone has used her information to obtain some debt in her name.

 Plaintiff informed Defendant that the Bank of America debt they were trying to collect on was not her debt and not a debt she incurred.

- 12. Defendants threatened to ruin Plaintiff's credit rating if she did not pay the Debt.
- 13. Defendants placed automated calls with pre-recorded voice messages on Plaintiff's residential and cellular phone line in an attempt to collect the Debt.
- 14. Defendants were rude when speaking to Plaintiff, telling Plaintiff, "it has to be you. It cannot possibly be someone else's debt."

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 15. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 16. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear and frustration.
- 17. The Defendants' conduct was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.</u>

- 18. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 19. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1) in that Defendants contacted the Plaintiff at a place and during a time known to be inconvenient for the Plaintiff.
- 20. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.

- 21. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2) in that Defendants misrepresented the character, amount and legal status of the Debt.
- 22. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8) in that Defendants threatened to communicate false credit information.
- 23. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) in that Defendants employed false and deceptive means to collect a debt.
- 24. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
 - 25. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE TEXAS DEBT COLLECTION ACT</u> TEX. FIN. CODE ANN. § 392, et al.

- 26. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
 - 27. The Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(1).
- 28. The Defendants are each a "debt collector" and a "third party debt collector" as defined by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(6) and (7).
- 29. The Defendants caused a telephone to ring repeatedly, with the intent to annoy or abuse the Plaintiff, in violation of Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.302(4).
- 30. The Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief and actual damages pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(1) and (2) and to remedies under Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code § 17.62 pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.404(a).

<u>COUNT III</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT – 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.</u>

- 31. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 32. Without prior consent the Defendants contacted the Plaintiff by means of automatic telephone calls or prerecorded messages at a cellular telephone or pager in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 33. Without prior consent the Defendants made telephone calls to the Plaintiff's residential telephone line using an artificial or prerecorded voice to deliver a message without the prior express consent of the Plaintiff in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B).
- 34. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
 - 35. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of the Defendants' violations.

COUNT IV INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION INTO PRIVATE AFFAIRS

- 36. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 37. The Restatement of Torts, Second, § 652(b) defines intrusion upon seclusion as, "One who intentionally intrudes…upon the solitude or seclusion of another, or his private affairs or concerns, is subject to liability to the other for invasion of privacy, if the intrusion would be highly offensive to a reasonable person."
- 38. Texas further recognizes the Plaintiff's right to be free from invasions of privacy, thus the Defendants violated Texas state law.

- 39. The Defendants intentionally intruded upon the Plaintiff's right to privacy by continually harassing the Plaintiff with numerous calls to Plaintiff's residential and cellular phone lines.
- 40. The telephone calls made by the Defendants to the Plaintiff were so persistent and repeated with such frequency as to be considered, "hounding the plaintiff," and, "a substantial burden to her existence," thus satisfying the Restatement of Torts, Second, § 652(b) requirement for an invasion of privacy.
- 41. The conduct of the Defendants in engaging in the illegal collection activities resulted in multiple invasions of privacy in such a way as would be considered highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 42. As a result of the intrusions and invasions, the Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial from the Defendants.
- 43. All acts of the Defendants and its agents were committed with malice, intent, wantonness, and recklessness, and as such, the Defendants are subject to punitive damages.

COUNT V INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 44. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 45. The acts, practices and conduct engaged in by the Defendants *vis-à-vis* the Plaintiff was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.
- 46. The foregoing conduct constitutes the tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress under the laws of the State of Texas.

47. All acts of the Defendants and the Collectors complained of herein were committed with malice, intent, wantonness, and recklessness, and as such, the Defendants are subject to imposition of punitive damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants:

- 1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against the Defendants;
- Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
 § 1692k(a)(2)(A) against the Defendants;
- 3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(3) against the Defendants;
- 4. Injunctive relief pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(1);
- 5. Actual damages pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(2);
- 6. Remedies under Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code § 17.62 pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.404(a);
- 7. Statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) & (C);
- 8. Actual damages from the Defendants for the all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff;
- 9. Punitive damages; and
- 10. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: January 21, 2011

Respectfully submitted,
By: __/s/ Diana P. Larson
Diana P. Larson, Attorney-in-Charge
Southern District of Texas Bar No. 24957
Texas Bar No. 24007799
The Larson Law Office, PLLC
440 Louisiana, Suite 900
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: (713) 221-9088

Telephone: (713) 221-9088 Facsimile: (832) 415-9762

Email: diana@thelarsonlawoffice.com

Of Counsel To:

LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C. A Connecticut Law Firm 1100 Summer Street, 3rd Floor Stamford, CT 06905 Telephone: (203) 653-2250

Facsimile: (877) 795-3666

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF